

**ELMBRIDGE LOCAL PLAN  
HEARING AGENDA  
DAY 11 Thursday 9 May 2024  
Morning session – 1000 – 1300**

---

**Matter 9 Site Allocations**

*The Inspector's MIQ's will form the basis for the discussion with particular reference to the following matters:*

**Key points for discussion**

- Site Selection Methodology - use of the PPG
- Approach to site allocations within the Plan (MIQ 8.6)
- Flood Risk in relation to specific sites

**Participants:**

Elmbridge Council  
Andrew Black Consulting obo Esher Rugby Club  
BVA Planning obo Chalford Property Company Ltd  
Lichfields obo Burwin Investments Ltd  
Woolf Bond Planning obo Claygate House Investments Ltd and MJS investments Ltd  
Solve Planning obo Lionel Frewin/Solve Planning obo Acre  
Nexus Planning obo Charterhouse Strategic Land  
Home Builders Federation  
Bidwells obo Burhill Development Ltd  
Vail Williams obo Haleon Ltd

**Afternoon session – 1400 – 1700**

- Chapter 9 – Policy wording
- Paragraphs 9.1-9.5
- Approach to density and the evidence base
- Car park sites (MIQ 8.11)

**Participants:**

As above

**ELMBRIDGE LOCAL PLAN  
HEARING AGENDA  
DAY 12 Friday 10 May 2024  
Morning session – 1000 – 1300**

---

**Matter 9 Site Allocations**

*The Inspector's MIQ's will form the basis for the discussion with particular reference to the following matters:*

**Key points for discussion**

Claygate

- Are the allocations justified by the evidence base?
- Is the extent of each site correctly identified?
- Are the requirements for each site clear and justified (see MIQ 8.6, the Council's response does not address the concerns raised)
- Have all of the site constraints, development mix and viability considerations been taken into account?

**Participants:**

Elmbridge Council  
Andrew Black Consulting obo Esher Rugby Club  
Woolf Bond Planning obo Claygate House Investments Ltd and MJS investments Ltd  
Solve Planning obo Lionel Frewin/Solve Planning obo Acre  
Nexus Planning obo Charterhouse Strategic Land  
Vail Williams obo Haleon Ltd

**Afternoon session – 1400 – 1700**

Cobham, Oxshott and Stoke D'Abernon

- Are the allocations justified by the evidence base?
- Is the extent of each site correctly identified?
- Are the requirements for each site clear and justified (see MIQ 8.6, the Council's response does not address the concerns raised)
- Have all of the site constraints, development mix and viability considerations been taken into account?

**Participants:**

As above

**ELMBRIDGE LOCAL PLAN  
HEARING AGENDA  
DAY 13 Tuesday 18 June 2024  
Morning session – 1000 – 1300**

---

**Matter 9 Site Allocations**

**Key points for discussion**

Thames Ditton, Long Ditton, Hinchley Wood and Western Green

- Are the allocations justified by the evidence base?
- Is the extent of each site correctly identified?
- Are the requirements for each site clear and justified (see MIQ 8.6, the Council's response does not address the concerns raised)
- Have all of the site constraints, development mix and viability considerations been taken into account?

**Participants:**

Elmbridge Council  
Andrew Black Consulting obo Esher Rugby Club  
Woolf Bond Planning obo Claygate House Investments Ltd and MJS investments Ltd  
Solve Planning obo Lionel Frewin/Solve Planning obo Acre  
Nexus Planning obo Charterhouse Strategic Land

**Afternoon session – 1400 – 1700**

Esher

- Are the allocations justified by the evidence base?
- Is the extent of each site correctly identified?
- Are the requirements for each site clear and justified (see MIQ 8.6, the Council's response does not address the concerns raised)
- Have all of the site constraints, development mix and viability considerations been taken into account?

**Participants:**

As above

**ELMBRIDGE LOCAL PLAN  
HEARING AGENDA  
DAY 14 Wednesday 19 June 2024  
Morning session – 1000 – 1300**

---

**Matter 9 Site Allocations**

**Key points for discussion**

Hersham and Molesey

- Are the allocations justified by the evidence base?
- Is the extent of each site correctly identified?
- Are the requirements for each site clear and justified (see MIQ 8.6, the Council's response does not address the concerns raised)
- Have all of the site constraints, development mix and viability considerations been taken into account?

**Participants:**

Elmbridge Council  
Andrew Black Consulting obo Esher Rugby Club  
Woolf Bond Planning obo Claygate House Investments Ltd and MJS investments Ltd  
Solve Planning obo Lionel Frewin/Solve Planning obo Acre  
Nexus Planning obo Charterhouse Strategic Land

**Afternoon session – 1400 – 1700**

Walton on Thames

- Are the allocations justified by the evidence base?
- Is the extent of each site correctly identified?
- Are the requirements for each site clear and justified (see MIQ 8.6, the Council's response does not address the concerns raised)
- Have all of the site constraints, development mix and viability considerations been taken into account?

**Participants:**

As above

**ELMBRIDGE LOCAL PLAN  
HEARING AGENDA  
DAY 15 Thursday 20 June 2024  
Morning session – 1000 – 1300**

---

**Matter 9 Site Allocations**

**Key points for discussion**

Weybridge

- Are the allocations justified by the evidence base?
- Is the extent of each site correctly identified?
- Are the requirements for each site clear and justified (see MIQ 8.6, the Council's response does not address the concerns raised)
- Have all of the site constraints, development mix and viability considerations been taken into account?

**Participants:**

Elmbridge Council  
Andrew Black Consulting obo Esher Rugby Club  
Woolf Bond Planning obo Claygate House Investments Ltd and MJS investments Ltd  
Solve Planning obo Lionel Frewin/Solve Planning obo Acre  
Nexus Planning obo Charterhouse Strategic Land  
Vail Williams obo Haleon Ltd

**Afternoon session – 1400 – 1700**

SANG session

**Participants:**

Elmbridge Council  
Carter Jonas